

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,118	03/31/2004	David R. Elmaleh	MGH 1929	5151
7590 07/20/2006			EXAMINER	
Paul J. Cook			BERNHARDT, EMILY B	
115 Pine Street Manchester, MA 01944			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s	Applicant(s)	
		10/814,118	ELMALEH E	ELMALEH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Emily Bernhardt	1624		
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover s	heet with the corresponden	ce address	
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF ONGER, FROM THE MAILING be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory perion e set or extended period for reply will, by state the Office later than three months after the mailing strends. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CON 1.136(a). In no event, however but will apply and will expire SII tute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION.  Ir, may a reply be timely filed  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	to communication(s) filed on s FINAL. 2b) The polication is in condition for allow cordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for form	al matters, prosecution as		
Disposition of Claims	5				
4a) Of the above the second s	is/are rejected is/are objected to. 3 are subject to restriction and/o tion is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is and its is/are: a) □ and its interest and its is/are.	rawn from considerator election requirement or election requirement oner.  In the content of the	nt. cted to by the Examiner. abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85	• •	
	drawing sheet(s) including the corr leclaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S			Madrida Office Action of to	10-102.	
12) Acknowledgr a) All b) 1. Certifi 2. Certifi 3. Copie	nent is made of a claim for forei Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority docume ed copies of the priority docume s of the certified copies of the priority ation from the International Bure ned detailed Office action for a li	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receive iority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nat )).		
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	98) 5) <u>P</u> a	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date btice of Informal Patent Applicatio	on (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1624

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1,2 drawn to unlabelled compounds of formula I, classified in class 544, subclasses such as 360,390; class 514 subclasses 253.01,255.01.

Page 2

- II. Claim 1, drawn to unlabelled compounds of formulae II and III, classified in class 546, subclasses such as 224,234; class 514 subclasses 329,331,etc.
- III. Claim 1, drawn to unlabelled compounds of formula IV, classified in class 546, subclass 229,etc.; class 514 subclass 331,etc.
- IV. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to unlabelled compounds of formula V, classified in class 544, subclasses such as 387,394,397,etc.; class 514 subclasses 255.02-255.04,etc.
- V. Claims 3-7, drawn to radiolabelled compounds of I-IV and use as diagnostic agents, classified in classes 544/546,534 and 435, subclasses various.
- VI. Claims 8-13, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds of I-IV, classified in class 514, subclasses various as determined by the nature of compound(s) employed.

Art Unit: 1624

If any of Groups I-IV is elected applicants must further elect a single species within that group. If V is elected applicants must pick a specific compound group I-IV. If VI is elected applicants must also elect a compound group, I-IV and a single use claim- directed to cocaine abuse or neurodegenerative disease.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Compounds of I-IV are variously substituted on piperazine/piperidine rings which are classified separately and are not art-recognized equivalents such that a reference to one of the groups would necessarily apply to those remaining. Each can support a patent as they are made and used independently of each other.

Inventions I-IV and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case more than one use exists for compounds being claimed as evidenced by those being claimed which include an entire class of disorders.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is

Art Unit: 1624

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Labelled compounds of V covered **only** by claims 3-5 (which are thus improperly dependent claims) are separate inventions since they are separately employed as diagnostic tools. Art which may be pertinent to one or more of the unlabelled compound groups would not necessarily be relevant to the subject matter of V which requires an additional search not needed for remaining uses claimed.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention **or** species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

Art Unit: 1624

accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is 571-272-0664.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Emily Bernhardt
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

Page 6